

Antony Loewenstein argues the unfavourable response to Mearsheimer's and Walt's paper criticising the 'Israel lobby' seeks to muzzle anti-Israel opinion ('Don't let any lobby shut down debate', April 18).

Really?

Aren't the respondents rather engaging in debate – a position Loewenstein purportedly endorses - by their critiques? Or does Loewenstein believe only anti-Israel views can legitimately be aired?

Geoffrey Zygiel

Executive Director

Executive Council of Australian Jewry